On Protecting Integrity and Confidentiality of Cryptographic File System for Outsourced Storage

> Aaram Yun, Chunhui Shi, Yongdae Kim University of Minnesota

# Cryptographic network file system

- How to achieve
  - a network file system
  - where data storage can be outsourced
  - \* securely and efficiently?

# Cryptographic network file system



#### Goals

- \* Formal security definition for cryptographic file system
  - confidentiality & integrity against attacker which controls data storage
- Efficient construction
  - better computational overhead for crypto operations

#### Requirements

- Confidentiality & integrity of stored data
- Random access
- Only constant amount of trusted storage per file
- Small computational overhead

#### Merkle hash tree



- Popular solution for protecting data integrity
- Data blocks at leaf nodes
- Tree of hash values
- Root should be stored securely
- \* O(log n) cost for update

# Merkle hash tree + encryption



- Put encrypted data blocks at leaf nodes
  - Blockwise encryption using CTR, for example
- Protects confidentiality and integrity

#### How to enhance Merkle tree?

- Efficiency
  - Hash function is fast, but not too fast
  - Speed of SHA-1 only about 1.5 times faster than AES-128, in most software environments
  - \* SHA-2 slower than AES-128 in general
- Security
  - \* Secure, but could leak information if not used carefully

#### Formalism

- \* A file represents a sequence of file blocks D<sub>1</sub>D<sub>2</sub>...D<sub>n</sub>
  - Allowed operations (file encryption key is implicit)
    - \* Read(k), Length(), Update(k, D), Append(D), Delete()
- \* T: trusted storage, S: data storage
  - \* (t, s) ∈ T×S: state of a file, starting from a fixed initial state, updated by file operations
  - \* Failed operation cannot change t, but it may change s

# Security definitions

- \* Integrity: infeasibility of alteration of file content
  - Attacker is allowed to interact with the file, making file operation queries
  - \* Attacker can feed arbitrary state s' before any file operation
  - \* Attacker wins if he requests read(k) and obtain  $D' \neq D_k$ 
    - D<sub>k</sub>: k<sup>th</sup> block of the correct file content

# Security definitions

#### Confidentiality

- infeasibility to learn anything about a file block, other than by reading the block
  - Even when the attacker somehow coerces a valid user to read a block of plaintext or eavesdrops it, still unread blocks do not give any information

#### Universal hash-based MACs

- \* Universal hash function : Prob[H<sub>k</sub>(x)=H<sub>k</sub>(y)]<ε for any x≠y
- Structure of H<sub>k</sub>(x) is very simple
- Long data block is 'compressed' by cheap universal hashing, then 'encrypted' by XORing to an enciphered nonce

 $\tau = M_{k, k'}(N, M) = H_k(M) \oplus E_{k'}(N)$ 

- \* Attacker cannot produce a forgery: (N, M,  $\tau$ ) satisfying  $\tau = H_k(M) \oplus E_{k'}(N)$  with new (N, M)
- \* We use Poly1305-AES, but other UH-based MACs are also usable

# Nonce-based MAC tree construction



- If nonce is untampered, validity of data & MAC can be checked
- Root nonce is securely stored
- Trust is transferred down the tree
- Leaf nonces are used to encrypt data blocks

Needs only to protect nonces & nonces can be shorter than hashes!

# How to encrypt using nonces

- Nonces at the leaf nodes, N<sub>k</sub><sup>(0)</sup> are used for encrypting each file blocks in CTR mode, and also for authenticating file blocks
- If, N<sub>k</sub><sup>(0)</sup> are kept in a trusted storage & incremented properly whenever update of a block happens, this encryption & authentication can be proven to be secure
- \* But, since  $N_k^{(0)}$  are protected by the MAC tree, still this is secure

# Implementation & performance



- Implemented the file system on a FUSE based network file system
  - One for our MAC tree, one for Merkle hash tree
- Cost of authentication is about 50% of the Merkle tree construction in general

#### Thank You!

