“behave in the expected manner for the intended purpose”
Usually the Monkey Gets You

Voting Machine

www._____.com: public picture of its key
Why Hardware?

By nature, software lives in the Matrix but...

... hardware makes up the Matrix.
## The Myth of Crypto Performance

### Baseline.
- Pentium 4. 3.6GHz.
- 1GB RAM. 11000 MIPS. OpenSSL 0.9.7f

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Algorithm</th>
<th>Rate (MB/sec)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DES/CBC</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RC4</td>
<td>138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MD5</td>
<td>18-615</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHA1</td>
<td>18-340</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modular MUL 1024</td>
<td>273000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSA1024 Sign</td>
<td>261</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSA1024 Verify</td>
<td>5324</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3DES</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Now we have Physical Threats

Invasive
direct access to components
damaging vs. non-damaging

Semi-Invasive
no electrical contact

Local Non-Invasive
close observation of device’s operation
(consider also knowledge of attacker)

Remote
observation of device’s normal i/o
Also Software Threats

Usual software suspects
External I/O Interface Drivers
Internal OS
Application Bugs
Certification Standards

Hundreds
Common Criteria (ISO/IEC 15408)
Federal Information Protection standards (FIPS)
Trusted Computing Group (TCG)
Evaluation Assurance Levels (EAL)

EAL1: Functionally Tested
EAL1 is applicable where some confidence in correct operation is required, but the threats to security are not viewed as serious.

EAL2: Structurally Tested
Requires the cooperation of the developer in terms of the delivery of design information and test results.

EAL3: Methodically Tested and Checked
Maximum assurance from positive security engineering at the design stage without substantial alteration of existing sound development practices.

EAL4: Methodically Designed, Tested and Reviewed
Maximum assurance from positive security engineering based on good commercial development practices which, though rigorous, do not require substantial specialist knowledge, skills, and other resources (Suse ES 10, RedHat 5, costs $2+ mil.).

EAL5: Semi-formally Designed and Tested
Maximum assurance from security engineering based upon rigorous commercial development practices supported by moderate application of specialist security engineering (Smart cards, IBM z/OS).

EAL6: Semi-formally Verified Designed and Tested
Applicable to the development of security for application in high risk situations.

EAL7: Formally Verified Design and Tested
EAL7 is applicable to the development of security for application in extremely high risk situations. Practical application of EAL7 is currently limited to tightly focused security functionality that is amenable to extensive formal analysis. (a single device so far, smart cards?)
## FIPS 140-2 Security Levels

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Security Level 1</th>
<th>Security Level 2</th>
<th>Security Level 3</th>
<th>Security Level 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cryptographic Module Specification</strong></td>
<td>Specification of cryptographic module, cryptographic boundary, Approved algorithms, and Approved modes of operation. Description of cryptographic module, including all hardware, software, and firmware components. Statement of module security policy.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cryptographic Module Ports and Interfaces</strong></td>
<td>Required and optional interfaces. Specification of all interfaces and all input and output data paths.</td>
<td>Data ports for unprotected critical security parameters logically separated from other data ports.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Roles, Services, and Authentication</strong></td>
<td>Logical separation of required and optional roles and services. Role-based or identity-based operator authentication.</td>
<td>Identity-based operator authentication.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Physical Security</strong></td>
<td>Production grade equipment. Locks or tamper evidence. Tamper detection and response for coverings and doors. Tamper detection and response override: EPP or EPT.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Operational Environment</strong></td>
<td>Single operator. Executable code. Approved integrity technique.</td>
<td>Referenced FPs evaluated at EAL 2 with specified discretionary access control mechanisms and auditing. Referenced FPs plus trusted path evaluated at EAL 3 plus security policy modeling.</td>
<td>Referenced FPs plus trusted path evaluated at EAL 4.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cryptographic Key Management</strong></td>
<td>Key management mechanisms: random number and key generation, key establishment, key distribution, key entry/exit, key storage, and key revocation. Secret and private keys established using manual methods may be entered or output in plaintext form. Secret and private keys established using manual methods shall be entered or output encrypted or with split knowledge procedures.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Self-Test</strong></td>
<td>Power-up tests: cryptographic algorithm tests, software/firmware integrity tests, critical functions tests. Conditional tests.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mitigation of Other Attacks</strong></td>
<td>Specification of mitigation of attacks for which no testable requirements are currently available.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# FIPS 140-2 Physical Requirements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Security Level</th>
<th>General Requirements for all Embodiments</th>
<th>Single-Chip Cryptographic Modules</th>
<th>Multiple-Chip Embedded Cryptographic Modules</th>
<th>Multiple-Chip Standalone Cryptographic Modules</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Level 1</td>
<td>Production-grade components (with standard preservation)</td>
<td>No additional requirements.</td>
<td>If applicable, production-grade enclosure or removable cover.</td>
<td>Production-grade enclosure.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level 2</td>
<td>Evidence of tampering (e.g., cover, enclosure, or seal)</td>
<td>Opaque tamper-evident coating on chip or enclosure.</td>
<td>Opaque tamper-evident encapsulating material or enclosure with tamper-evident seals or pick-resistant locks for doors or removable covers.</td>
<td>Opaque enclosure with tamper-evident seals or pick-resistant locks for doors or removable covers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level 3</td>
<td>Automatic zeroization when accessing the maintenance access interface. Tamper response and zeroization circuitry. Protected vents.</td>
<td>Hard opaque tamper-evident coating on chip or strong removal-resistant and penetration resistant enclosure.</td>
<td>Hard opaque potting material encapsulation of multiple chip circuitry embodiment or applicable Multiple-Chip Standalone Security Level 3 requirements.</td>
<td>Hard opaque potting material encapsulation of multiple chip circuitry embodiment or strong enclosure with removal penetration attempts causing serious damage.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
“The cryptographic module components shall be covered by potting material or contained within an enclosure encapsulated by a tamper detection envelope (e.g., a flexible mylar printed circuit with a serpentine geometric pattern of conductors or a wire-wound package or a non-flexible, brittle circuit or a strong enclosure) that shall detect tampering by means such as cutting, drilling, milling, grinding, or dissolving of the potting material or enclosure to an extent sufficient for accessing plaintext secret and private keys cryptographic keys ...”
Instances

- Encryption disks
- USB tokens
- RSA SecurID
- TPMs
- Smart Cards
- Secure Co-processors
- CPU-level techniques
- PUFs
- misc others
Full Disk Encryption

- **Key Management**: internal
- **Authentication**: mostly external (BIOS, or app)
  - Pre-boot authentication
  - “hashed passwords” on drive
  - emergency password recovery file outside
  - multiple users
- **Encryption**
  - On-board AES – <3% overhead / traditional drive
  - “disk erase” = change encryption keys
- **On Chipset**: Intel vPro chipsets might add encryption in the south bridge (PCI/IDE/..., not until 2010)
USB Storage

Carry secrets on USB token, often un-locked with a password. Allows for 2-factor authentication.
RSA SecurID

Bob

The Bank

time synchronized

SID(time)

user, pass

check SID(time)

Alice

Mallory

Eve
Trusted Platform Module (TPM)

Microcontroller that stores keys, passwords and digital certificates.
Can the Trusted Platform Module control what software runs?
No. [...] it can only act as a 'slave' to higher level services and applications by storing and reporting pre-runtime configuration information. [...] At no time can the TCG building blocks 'control' the system or report the status of [running] applications.
... but it can do “attestation”

Idea: authenticate next link in chain before passing control. e.g., BIOS to OS, VMM to VM to Guest OS

“measure” = authenticate identity
Measurement

“RTM” = root of trust measurement (e.g., BIOS)
“SML” = stored measurement log (external)
“PCR” = hash(prev,extend), cannot be forced
Verification

Q: Why trust?
A: TPM signature key
Breaking Key Correlation: AIK CA

“AIK” = attestation identity key
(2048 bit RSA generated by TPM, unlimited number of them)

“AIK CA” = external certificate authority for AIKs
Dynamic vs. static PCRs

**Static PCRs: 0-16**
Reset by reboot only

**Dynamic PCRs: 17-23**
Can be reset to 0 without reboot
Reboot sets them to 1 (can remotely distinguish reboot from dynamic reset)

**Special PCR 17**
Only hardware CPU command can reset it.
SKINIT instruction can trigger that.
Software cannot reset PCR 17
Attacking the TPM

TPM Reset Attack
Sean Smith et al.,
www.cs.dartmouth.edu/~pkilab/sparks/

also
Programming the TPM

Trusted Software Stack (TSS) Libraries
Use Windows TSS dll
Linux TSS SDK

Developer Support and Software
http://www.trustedcomputinggroup.org/developers/
eXecute Only Memory (XOM)

Smart Cards/Chips

Contact smart card

RFID smart card

Functionality
DES, RSA(?), MD5, SHA-1, 4-16kb ROM/RAM, soon 1MB (!), 16bit 10-30MHz CPU, 10-80kbps (source: Sharp)
Architecture

Philips Smart MX
Power Analysis

Cryptographic device (e.g., smart card and reader)

Oscilloscope

Control, Waveform data

Control, Cyphertexts

Computer
US Passport

Made by Smartrac (Netherlands) and shipped to the US from Europe via Thailand. In 2007 China allegedly stole the RFID chip.
Heat and Acids
Polishers and Microscopes

Figure 1: (a) Source image of layer 2 after edge detection; (b) after automated template detection.


[Garcia, van Rossum, Verdult, Schreur, Wirelessly Pickpocketing a Mifare Classic Card, ]
Weak: LFSR Cipher, RNG

Figure 2: Crypto-1 stream cipher and initialization.
Smart Card: Windows login

Steps 1 - 3

WINLOGON

MSGSNA
collects
user's
PIN

LSA

Active Directory

User Object:
Name
Group membership
Public certificate

Step 5

Contains: User’s certificate
signed with private key

Step 6

KDC

Step 7

Contains: TGT
Contains: Logon
Session Key
Encrypted with
Public Key

Uses public key from AD certificate
to decrypt certificate. If
decryption works, creates TGT

Smart Card

PIN

Step 4

Kerberos
SSP

Step 8: Kerberos Client
Decrypts the Session Key
With its Private Key

User’s
Public
Certificate
Cell Broadband Engine

Apps: PS3, Xbox 360, IBM BladeCenter, HPC, Video cards etc.
Cell BE: Secure Processing Vault

**Idea: isolate application.**

**Isolated SPE**
- Disengaged from the bus
- SPE LS contains app code + data
- PPE-SPE control mechanisms are disabled
- Only external action possible is cancel: all information in the LS and SPE is erased before external access is re-enabled.
- All LS reads and writes from units on the bus (PPE, SPEs, I/O) have no effect on the locked-up region of the LS.
- Dedicated area of the LS is left open to data transfers.
- Any number of SPEs can be in isolation mode at any given time.
Cell BE: Runtime Secure Boot


1. Isolation mode is initiated.
2. Previous application is stopped and cancelled.
3. Application is fetched in and checked by the hardware authenticator
   - based on a hardware key and cryptographic algorithm
4. Integrity check fails; execution stopped
   - Application was tampered
5. Check succeeds; will kick-start the application's execution in isolation mode.
ARM TrustZone: “allows the system to be more easily partitioned for security while maintaining hardware-backed protection for the security sub-system.”
TrustZone: Partitioning

**Impact layers**: Bus (DMA controllers), RAM (Memory Wrapper, Address Space Controller, Cache controllers), I/O (secure bridges for peripherals).

**Chips**: ARM SecureCore Chips (SC100/200/300)
TrustZone: Writing Apps

Key storage in main memory

Usual Implementation

API Implementation

TrustZone implementation

TrustZone access driver

TZ Monitor

Secure Kernel

API Implementation

Secure key storage

SW provided by ARM
Nokia ObC

Device OS

Client Applications
e.g., Symbian

Credentials Manager

ObC Database

Secure UI

Credentials Manager API

Provisioning subsystem interface

Interpreter interface

Secure environment
e.g., TI M-shield

ObC Provisioning subsystem

HTTPS Obc Program

ObC Interpreter

Crypto Library

SK₀

OPK
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Secure State Machine “guarantees policies while entering / executing / exiting secure environment”, automatic secured DMA transfers (bus-level encryption ?), secure chip interconnect, hardware crypto, ARM TrustZone
SKINIT (AMD)/SENDER (Intel)

kernel says
“SKINIT <address of SLB>”

CPU
disables DMA to SLB,
interrupts, debugging
resets PCR 17-23
transfers SLB to TPM
enters flat 32-bit addressing
jumps to entry point

TPM
measures SLB into PCR 17
Flicker: using SKINIT (AMD)

Left: a traditional computer with an application that executes sensitive code (S). Right: Flicker protects the execution of the sensitive code. Shaded portions represent components that must be trusted; applications are included on the left because many run with super-user privileges.

[McCune et al., "Flicker: An Execution Infrastructure for TCB Minimization", EuroSys 2008]
SLB core extends a well known value (function of input/output values of PAL + random nonce from remote party) in PCR 17: allow remote party to distinguish between values generated by the PAL (trusted) and those produced by the resumed OS (untrusted)
Intel SGX

Untrusted Part of App

Create Enclave

Call Trusted Func

Trusted Part of App

Execute

Return

Privileged System Code
OS, VMM, BIOS, SMM...
## Intel SGX

### Diagram

- **OS**
- **Enclave Code**
- **Enclave Data**
- **App Data**
- **App Code**
- **User Process**

### Table: Intel SGX Instructions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>User Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SADD</td>
<td>Add a page</td>
<td>EENTER</td>
<td>Enter an enclave</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBLOCK</td>
<td>Block an EPC page</td>
<td>EEXIT</td>
<td>Exit an enclave</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCREATE</td>
<td>Create an enclave</td>
<td>EGETKEY</td>
<td>Create a cryptographic key</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDBGREAD</td>
<td>Read data by debugger</td>
<td>EREPORT</td>
<td>Create a cryptographic report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDBGWRITE</td>
<td>Write data by debugger</td>
<td>ERESUME</td>
<td>Re-enter an enclave</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SINIT</td>
<td>Initialize en enclave</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SELDB</td>
<td>Load an EPC page as blocked</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SELDU</td>
<td>Load an EPC page as unblocked</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPA</td>
<td>Add a version array</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SREMOV E</td>
<td>Remove a page from EPC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STRACE</td>
<td>Activate EBLOCK checks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBWRD</td>
<td>Write back/invalidate an EPC page</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Intel SGX: Remote Attestation

Diagram:
- Enclave
- Application
- Server
- User Platform
- Quoting Enclave
- Attestation Services
- Connections indicated by numbers 1 to 7.
Intel SGX: Local Attestation
Acalis CPU 872 Secure Processor

- DDR2 controller
- FPU floating point unit
- L2
- L2
- L1
- L1
- mesh interface - processor subsystem A
- mesh interface - processor subsystem B
- mesh router
- firewall
- Ethernet
- DMA
- Security processor
- Message passing interfaces & streaming registers
- Triggered zeroization signal
- Unique serial code

Secure boot
Encrypt/decrypt
Secure interconnect
Hardware firewall

More? Ryan?
CPU872: “Secure Anchor”
CPU872: “Secure Mobile Comp.E.”
Secure Co-Processors

“A secure coprocessor is a general-purpose computing environment that withstands physical and logical attacks.

The device must run the programs that it is supposed to, unmolested. You must be able to (remotely) distinguish between the real device and application, and a clever impersonator.

The coprocessor must remain secure even if adversaries carry out destructive analysis of one or more devices.”
Dyad and Strongbox


Trust Chain

- Officers
  - Software:
    - Applications
    - Environment/OS
    - Kernel
    - Loaders
- IBM
  - Firmware:
    - Post
    - Miniboot
- IBM
  - Hardware:
    - Processor
    - Flash, RAM, ROM
    - Locks
    - Tamper-responding unit
    - Crypto functions

Trust
SCPU: IBM 4764-001 PCI-X

266MHz PowerPC. 64MB RAM. 64KB battery-backed SRAM storage. Crypto hardware engines: AES256, DES, TDES, DSS, SHA-1, MD5, RSA. FIPS 140-2 Level 4 certified.
IBM 4764-001 Architecture

- Physical Security (Sense and response)
- CFU
- SDRAM
- Battery-Backed RAM
- Flash (RAW)
- ROM (ROMmed Flash)
- FPGA Flash
- Hardware lock (access control), EEPROM
- Custom comm. hardware
- Cryptoengines (IBM UltraCrypter 2)
- TDES (DES)
- AES
- SHA-1
- MD5
- Pubkey (RSA)
- Hardware Random Number Generator
- Real-Time Clock
- External interface (PCI-X etc.)
- Secure enclosure
- PCI-X bridge
- Batteries
- Misc, support circuitry
- Card PCI-X interface
- RS-232 port
- Ethernet port

Stony Brook Network Security and Applied Cryptography Laboratory

November 23, 2021
# IBM 4764-001 Segments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Segment</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 0       | Basic code  
           | The basic code manages coprocessor initialization and the hardware component interfaces. This code cannot be changed after the coprocessor leaves the factory. |
| 1       | Software administration and cryptographic routines  
           | Software in this segment:  
           | - Administers the replacement of software already loaded to Segment 1.  
           | - Administers the loading of data and software to segments 2 and 3.  
           | - Is loaded at the factory, but can be replaced using the CLU utility. |
| 2       | Embedded operating system  
           | The coprocessor Support Program includes the operating system; the operating system supports applications loaded into Segment 3. Segment 2 is empty when the coprocessor is shipped from the factory. |
| 3       | Application software  
           | The coprocessor Support Program includes a CCA application program that can be installed into Segment 3. The application functions according to the IBM CCA and performs access control, key management, and cryptographic operations. Segment 3 is empty when the coprocessor is shipped from the factory. |
### Performance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Function</th>
<th>Context</th>
<th>IBM 4764</th>
<th>P4 @ 3.4Ghz</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>RSA sig.</strong></td>
<td>512 bits</td>
<td>4200/s (est.)</td>
<td>1315/s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1024 bits</td>
<td>848/s</td>
<td>261/s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2048 bits</td>
<td>316-470/s</td>
<td>43/s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>RSA verif.</strong></td>
<td>512 bits</td>
<td>6200/s (est.)</td>
<td>16000/s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1024 bits</td>
<td>1157-1242/s</td>
<td>5324/s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2048 bits</td>
<td>976-1087/s</td>
<td>1613/s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SHA-1</strong></td>
<td>1KB blk.</td>
<td>1.42 MB/s</td>
<td>80 MB/s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>64 KB blk.</td>
<td>18.6 MB/s</td>
<td>120 MB/s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 MB blk.</td>
<td>21-24 MB/s</td>
<td>1+ GB/s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>DMA xfer</strong></td>
<td>end-to-end</td>
<td>75-90 MB/s</td>
<td>1+ GB/s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CPU freq</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>266MHz</td>
<td>3400Mhz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>RAM</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>16-32MB</td>
<td>2-4GB</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Hardware Performance Overview. SCPU (e.g., IBM 4764-001 PCI-X) are orders of magnitude slower for general purpose computation than main CPUs (Pentium 4, 3.4Ghz, OpenSSL 0.9.7f). On the other hand, the crypto acceleration in the SCPU shows in direct speedup of crypto operations. Also optimized key setups might result in slightly different numbers for the main CPU.
Limitation: Heat

Dissipating heat while being tamper-proof.
Attacks

Possible Attacks
Probe Penetration
Power Sequencing (filter on power supply)
Radiation
Temperature Manipulation
Improper battery removal

Response (on tamper detection)
Zeroes its critical keys
Destroys its certificates
Is rendered inoperable
# 4764: Ranges

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Operating environment</th>
<th>Storage environment</th>
<th>Shipping environment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Temperature</strong></td>
<td>+10°C - +40°C (+50°F - +104°F)</td>
<td>+1°C - +60°C (+33.8°F - +140°F)</td>
<td>-15°C - +50°C (+5°F - +140°F)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Relative humidity</strong></td>
<td>8% - 80%</td>
<td>5% - 80%</td>
<td>5% - 100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Wet bulb</strong></td>
<td>+27°C (+80.6°F)</td>
<td>+29°C (+84.2°F)</td>
<td>+29°C (+84.2°F)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pressure (minimum)</strong></td>
<td>768 mbar</td>
<td>700 mbar</td>
<td>550 mbar</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
relationship between “tamper-evident”, “tamper-resistant”, “tamper-proof” etc.
Miscellaneous “SCPU”s

**netHSM**
Networked shareable cryptographic resource for multiple servers. Just crypto, no tamperXXX CPU.

**nShield**
FIPS 140-2 level 2/3 TPM/SCPU

**miniHSM**
FIPS 140-2 level 3 mini SCPU
Physically Unclonable Function

Based on a physical system
Easy to evaluate (using the physical system)
Its output looks like a random function
Unpredictable even for an attacker with physical access

Silicon PUF: no two ICs are the same
PUFs as Unclonable Keys

Set of challenge/response pairs
PUFs: Applications

Anonymous Computation
Run computations remotely and ensure correct results. Return a certificate showing they were run correctly.

Software Licensing
Sell software which only runs on specific PUF-identified chip.
Areas

Finance
Online banking, ATMS

Commerce
Energy, Smart-grid, Healthcare

Government
Regulatory compliance

Military
Secure battle-field devices
take home stuff

Understand *your* adversary
e.g., physical, insider vs. software-only, remote

Understand defenses and cost of attack
$10^1$ of overcoming defenses should not protect
$10^6$
Thanks 😊
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